

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-63084-2023
Date of Decision:20.03.2024

Ramandeep Singh Pawar @ Harsh	Petitioner
Vs.	
State of Punjab	Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. G.S. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate
for the complainant.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 15.12.2023, following order was passed:

“Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.89 dated 02.10.2023, under Sections 448, 511, 457, 380 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Daresi, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that the present FIR has been lodged as counter blast to civil proceedings initiated by father of the petitioner pertaining to the property in question. The father of the petitioner has been in possession of the property for the last 40 years and the petitioner has been staying therein along with his father. The petitioner was not present at the spot on the date of alleged occurrence. The petitioner being in lawful possession of the property, no offence is made out against him, as alleged. The petitioner is ready to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Shubham Kaushik, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and waives service. He prays for time to file reply/status report.

List on 12.02.2024.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join the investigation and would associate as and when called. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing personal bond and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions specified in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Short reply by way of an affidavit of Shri Jayant Puri, IPS Assistant Commissioner of Police, North Ludhiana has been filed on behalf of respondent- State as per which petitioner is no longer required for further investigation.

Reply on behalf of complainant has also been filed stating that facts were utterly stated before this Court.

Since petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, order dated 15.12.2023 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

March 20, 2024

Neetika Tuteja

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No