



CRM-M-58239-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(220)

CRM-M-58239-2024
Date of Decision : 12.12.2024

Inderjeet Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Arjun Veer Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Avneet, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This Court, on dated 21.11.2024, had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

*“Through the instant petition filed under Section 438 of
the, Cr.P.C., prayer is made for grant of 'anticipatory bail'
to the petitioner in case FIR no.34, dated 24.03.2024
(Annexure P-1), under Section 379 of the IPC, and under
Section 21 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and
Development) Act, 1957, registered at Police Station
Doraha, District Khanna.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner, in asking for the relief
(supra), submits that though the anticipatory bail petition
(CRM-M24179-2024) of the co-accused-Amrik Singh, has
been dismissed by a coordinate bench of this Court,
however, he was the owner of the tipper and the poclain
machine, which were alleged to have been used for doing
illegal mining.*

*He further submits that the petitioner is involved in the
instant case only on the basis of the fact that the land, on*



which the tipper and the poclain machine was found present, belongs to the present petitioner.

He further submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation, and he is a man of clean antecedents.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State, and waives service.

Adjourned to 12.12.2024.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to her from the Investigating Officer concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 21.11.2024, is hereby made absolute, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

CRM-M-58239-2024

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

December 12, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No