



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

265

CWP-28117-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.09.2024

M/s. Manjit Poultry Farm and another ...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for directing respondents No.1 to 7 to provide protection to the petitioner poultry farm as well as its partners and employees.

Learned counsel for the petitioners refers to the short reply filed by way of affidavit dated 04.07.2024 on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5, the relevant paragraphs of the same reads thus:-

“2. That at the very outset it is respectfully submitted that the answering respondents have not received any representation from the petitioners seeking any protection to their life and liberty and further there is no threat or apprehension to the petitioners, their employees and property and the present petition is liable to be dismissed on this score alone. It is, further, submitted that the answering respondents are duty

bound to protect each and every individual and the petitioners are free to approach the answering respondent at any time in case of any apprehension to their life and liberty.

3. *That it is respectfully submitted that the poultry farm of the petitioners is situated at village Behrampur, PS Sri Chamkaur Sahib, District Rupnagar, near the residential area and due to the foul smell from the garbage and dead birds and discharge of pollutants in water and air from the poultry farm of the petitioners, the inhabitants of the area requested to the petitioners to take measures to ensure compliance of environment norms and laws in order to maintain health and safety of the inhabitants residing in the vicinity of the poultry farm of the petitioners. It is further submitted that the inhabitants gave representation to the respondent no.6 and 7 in this regard and staged a peaceful Dharna (protest) on 21.11.2023, against the petitioners and thereafter with the intervention of respondent no.6 and 7, the petitioners admitted lapses and assured in writing to the respondent no.6 and 7 that they would plug the shortcomings and thereafter, the inhabitants of the area had ended their peaceful Dharna (protest) on 15.12.2023 and since then there is no Dharna, protest or whatsoever by the inhabitants of the area against the*

poultry farm of the petitioners. It is further submitted that the inhabitants of the area have their genuine demand of pure and pollution free environment and it is the duty of the petitioners to adhere environment norms and law in order to run his business, therefore, the present petition is liable to be dismissed.”

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition at this stage as the respondents have assured that in the event of any apprehension to their life and liberty, the petitioners are free to approach said respondents. It is also informed that the protestors had ended their protest against the poultry farm.

Disposed of accordingly.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

26.09.2024
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No