

**CWP-31412-2024****1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****(127)****CWP-31412-2024****Date of Decision : November 21, 2024****Shiv Kumar****.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate and
Mr. Nikhil Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner appeared in the examination of Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test in the year 2017 but he has not been evaluated in a manner required as the petitioner was entitled for two grace marks so as to be eligible to clear the said test but the same have not been granted to the petitioner, which is causing prejudice to him.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 05.06.2024 (Annexure P-8) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be

satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the

**CWP-31412-2024****2**

respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Shruti, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice dated 05.06.2024 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

November 21, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No