



CRWP-11227-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CRWP-11227-2024
Date of Decision : 09.12.2024

Shivani Mittal ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. B.S. Jatana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the official respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner, at the hands of private respondent No.4.
2. The petitioner, who is residing in Australia, has filed the instant petition, with the averments that she has to visit India, for the purpose of taking custody of her child, who is stated to be in custody of respondent No.4, husband of the present petitioner, and now, she is facing threat, which propelled her to file the instant petition.
3. This Court, on dated 21.11.2024, had passed the following order upon the instant petition :-

“1. At this stage, this Court deems it appropriate to, without issuing notice, direct the learned State counsel to, through the respondent No.3-S.H.O. concerned, file a reply, detailing therein whether the threat perception, as



alleged by the petitioner, genuinely exists or the same is based upon mere assumptions and presumptions.
2. List on 09.12.2024, for awaiting the reply.”

4. In deference to the directions issued by this Court, by drawing an order dated 21.11.2024, status report dated 08.12.2024, by way of affidavit of respondent No.3-Inspector Pardeep Singh Bajwa, SHO, Police Station Tripuri, District Patiala, furnished by the learned State counsel today in the Court. The same is taken on the record. Copy thereof, supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. The status report (supra), voiced to the effect that the petitioner has now returned to Australia, and as and when, she plans to visit to India again, she can approach the authorities concerned, and thereupon, apt action will be taken, after evaluating the threat perceptions, if any.
6. In view of the above, no further order is required to be passed in the instant petition, and the same is hereby **closed**.
7. **Disposed of** accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

December 09, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No