



224

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-58941-2024

Date of Decision: 29.11.2024

Sunny

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate and
Ms. Manjula Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.0037 dated 03.06.2024 registered under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC, at Police Station Cyber Sonipat, District Sonipat.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he had provided a bank account of Rahul, accused in Indusind Bank to the other accused in return of Rs.10,000/- as commission. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 24.08.2024 and after completion of the investigation, the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented against him. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the case is mainly based on documentary evidence and the entire documentary evidence has already been collected by the police, which has finally culminated into the challan. Learned counsel has



further placed reliance on the order dated 08.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) passed by this Court, whereby concession of bail has been granted to Surender Kumar, similarly placed co-accused.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The petitioner is in custody since 24.08.2024 and all the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate. The documentary evidence has already been collected by the police and similarly placed co-accused Surender Kumar has already been admitted to bail vide order dated 08.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) passed by this Court.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

29.11.2024.
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No