

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 13.02.2024

(1) CRM-M-62995-2023

Shinderpal SinghPetitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents.

(2) CRM-M-63894-2023 (O&M)

Gurmeet Kaur and anotherPetitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Ms. Archana Arora, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amaninder Singh Sekhon, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. Both petitions i.e. *CRM-M-62995-2023 and CRM-M-63894-2023 (supra)* preferred under Section 482 Cr.PC, are taken up together having been arisen out of the common FIR in question (Annexure P-1). Petitioners, in both the petitions, seek quashing of the FIR (Annexure P-1), and all other subsequent

proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected between the parties on 05.05.2023 (Annexure P-5). Details of the FIR are as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
338	15.09.2020	420, 120-B 506 and 494 IPC	City Faridkot, District Faridkot

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that with the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise dated 05.05.2023 (Annexure P-5), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.
3. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridkot, vide report dated 09.02.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner has also deposited the amount of Rs. 10,000/- with the Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh in compliance to the order dated 25.01.2024 and has also produced the receipt No. 6832 dated 08.02.2024. The same is taken on record.
5. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

6. In view of the aforesaid report of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridkot, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since respondent No. 2 has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners in both cases i.e. CRM-M-62995-2023 and CRM-M-63894-2023 (*supra*).

7. In the circumstances, both the above mentioned petitions, i.e. CRM-M-62995-2023 and CRM-M-63894-2023 are allowed. FIR No. 338 dated 15.09.2020 under Sections 420, 120-B, 506 and 494 IPC registered at City Faridkot, District Faridkot, (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file.

13.02.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |