



CRM-M-58018-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(218)

CRM-M-58018-2024  
Date of Decision : 12.12.2024

Sunil ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Yashdeep Nain, Advocate and  
Mr. Akshay, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
  
Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This Court, on dated 21.11.2024, had passed the hereinafter  
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

- “1. Through the instant petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.282 dated 22.09.2024, under Sections 110, 115, 126, 351(3) of the B.N.S., registered at P.S. Sadar Jhajjar, District Jhajjar.*
- 2. The principal argument of the learned counsel for the petitioner is clothed in the fact that, the matter has been compromised between the parties, as is evident from the Settlement deed (Annexure P3). Therefore, he submits that when the complainant is not ready to support the case of prosecution, therefore, putting the petitioner behind the bars, for commission of the alleged offence(s), would tantamount to sheer abuse of process of law.*
- 3. At this stage, Mr. Anurag S. Tomar, Advocate, who records his appearance on behalf of the complainant, under memorandum of appearance instituted before this*



*Court today, admits the factum of compromise between the parties and extends his 'No Objection' in case the petitioner is granted the concession of anticipatory bail. As prayed for, the learned counsel for the complainant is permitted to, within seven days from today, file a validly executed Vakalatnama in his favour, by the respondent concerned, before Registry of this Court.*

*4. Notice of motion.*

*5. Mr. Karan Sharma, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.*

*6. In view of the matter admittedly becoming compromised between the parties, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.*

*7. List on 12.12.2024."*

2. Today, the learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to him from the Investigating Officer concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 21.11.2024, is hereby made absolute, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

*“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;*

*(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;*



*(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”*

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

December 12, 2024  
Manpreet

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |