

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

266

CRM-M-63068-2023
Date of decision:01.02.2024

VISHAL SINGLA AND ANOTHER

..PETITIONER(S)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR , J (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 739 dated 04.06.2018 registered under Sections 323/506/34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 30.11.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 16.12.2023:-

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.739 dated 04.06.2018 under Sections 323/506/34 of IPC registered at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat (Annexure P-1) alongwith all subsequent proceedings on the

basis of compromise dated 30.11.2023 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Ms. Archana Chauhan, Advocate for Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his power of attorney and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

Now the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get recorded their statements regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 01.02.2024.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance”.

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs.**

State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 739 dated 04.06.2018 registered under Sections 323/506/34 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

01.02.2024
joyti

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No