



119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-64630-2023

Date of Decision:- 16.09.2024

Gulshan Rai Satija and Another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Snehdip Oberoy, Advocate
for the petitioners (through Video Conferencing).

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Ms. Priyanka Sud, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Snehdip Oberoy, Advocate filed fresh Vakalatnama on behalf of petitioners, after obtaining no objection from previous counsel. Same is taken on record.

2. Learned counsel for petitioners stated that matter has been compromised between the parties, therefore, he has instructions to withdraw the present petition seeking quashing of FIR No. 593 dated 08.12.2021, under Section 406, 420, 120-B of IPC, Section 465, 467, 468, 471, 201 IPC added later on, registered at Police Station Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar (Annexure P-10) and charge sheet dated 17.06.2023 (Annexure P-20), with liberty to file fresh petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise.

3. Ms. Priyanka Sud, Advocate appeared on behalf of respondent No. 3 and filed her Power of Attorney, which is taken on record, who is

opposing that no compromise has been effected with Anil Gupta-respondent No. 3

4. In view of statement of learned counsel for petitioners, present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn, with aforesaid liberty.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

16.09.2024
lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No