



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-57847-2024
Date of Decision : **20.11.2024**

RANJEET SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, prayer is made for quashing of impugned order dated 11.11.2024 (Annexure P-4), whereby, on account of the absence of the petitioner before the learned trial court concerned, his non-bailable warrants of arrest have been issued, in case FIR No.41, dated 04.04.2024, under Section 22(c) of the the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Sadar, Faridkot.

2. In addition, the petitioner seeks that he may be granted interim protection so as to enable him to appear before the learned trial court concerned, on or before 30.11.2024, and upon his furnishing bail/surety bonds, he may be released on bail.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the instant FIR, petitioner was granted the relief of interim bail by the learned trial court concerned vide order dated 30.05.2024 (Annexure P-2).

3. He further submits that the petitioner was regularly appearing before the learned trial court concerned, however, on account of his serious illness, he could not appear before the latter concerned on dated 25.09.2024, which led to issuance of non-bailable warrants against him. Thereafter, on coming to know about the issuance of non-bailable warrants, he applied for grant of anticipatory bail, however, the same was dismissed vide order dated 18.10.2024 (Annexure P-3). Now again vide impugned order dated 11.11.2024, a fresh non-bailable warrants have been issued against him.

4. He also submits that the petitioner has no intention to run away from the clutches of law, rather he was regularly appearing before the learned trial court concerned, and on account of the above mentioned reason, he could not cause appearance on the date fixed before the learned trial court concerned.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel vociferously opposed the grant of asked for relief to the present petitioner.

6. This Court has considered the rival submissions as made by the learned counsel for both the parties concerned, and has gone through the entire case file.

7. Since an innocuous and a *bona fide* prayer has been made by learned counsel for the petitioner, without going into the legality or illegality of the impugned order, this Court directs the petitioner to

surrender before the learned trial Court concerned within 15 days from today.

8. In case the petitioner surrenders before the learned trial Court concerned, within a stipulated time and he shall be released on bail on his furnishing fresh bail and surety bonds, subject to the satisfaction of that Court.

9. In the meanwhile, the arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed.

10. However, in case, the petitioner fails to surrender before the learned trial Court concerned within 15 days from today, the protection granted hereinabove, *qua* his arrest shall *ipso facto* vacated, without any further reference to this Court.

11. **Disposed of accordingly.**

November 20, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No