

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

258

CWP-27999-2023  
Date of decision : 22.02.2024

Paras Verma .....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and others .....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR**

Present: Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate with  
Ms. Snigdha Sood, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Arundhati Kulshreshtha, A.A.G., Punjab  
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Ajay Pratap Singh Sehgal, Advocate  
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Himmat Singh, Advocate  
for respondent No.6.

\*\*\*\*

**NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the respondents to offer appointment to the petitioner on the post in question in the B.C. category being next in merit, on account of non-joining of the selected candidate in B.C. Punjab category from the date the counter parts have been offered appointment along with all consequential benefits flowing from the appointment with interest @ 18% per annum and/or to upload the list of the status of the vacant seats which were advertised vide advertisement (Annexure P-1).

2. Pursuant to notice of motion, reply on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 and 4 and respondent No.6 have been filed in the Court which are taken on record.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Sh. Kuldeep Singh, Senior Assistant, submits that the matter for issuance of appointment to the petitioner is under process and necessary appointment letter shall be issued within a period of 15 days.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the averments made in the replies and statement made by learned State counsel, the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous.
5. Disposed of as having become infructuous.

22.02.2024

*kothiyal*

(NAMIT KUMAR)  
JUDGE

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable:        | Yes/No |