



CRWP-11156-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

CRWP-11156-2024

Date of Decision : November 19, 2024

POOJA RANI AND ANR

-PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, as filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners, who are in a 'live-in relationship', have sought issuance of directions upon the respondents No.2 to 3, to ensure protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents, and, also to restrain the said respondents from harassing them or interfering in their lives.

2. The petitioners, in their asking for the relief (supra), have made submissions that both of them have attained the age of majority, as the petitioner No.1 was born on 17.01.2001, while the petitioner No.2 was born on 23.02.2004. The petitioners have appended their respective age proofs as Annexures P-1 and P-2 with the instant petition. The further submissions made in the instant petition, are that, the petitioners are living in 'live-in relationship', however, their 'live-in relationship' has caused grievance to the private respondents. As a result of such grievance, the private respondents

**CRWP-11156-2024****2**

threatened to kill the petitioners, which has made them apprehensive of danger to their lives and liberty and resultantly, has constrained them to approach this Court, to seek protection of their lives and liberty.

3. It is further averred in the petition that, consequent upon threats being extended by the private respondents, the petitioners made a representation dated 15.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) to the respondents no.2 to 4, expressing therein their apprehension about danger to their lives at the hands of the private respondents.

4. Although the instant petition embodies submission regarding the petitioners being major, yet this Court posed a specific query to the learned counsel for the petitioners, as to whether the petitioners have attained the age of majority and are unmarried, to which an answer became affirmatively voiced.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that he would be satisfied in case the respondent no.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, is directed to look into the representation (supra) and after considering threat perception to the petitioners, to take appropriate action.

6. Notice of motion to the official respondent(s) only.

7. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

8. Without commenting anything as regards the veracity of the averments made in the petition and also as regards the sanctity of alleged relationship of the petitioners, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, to consider the representation (supra) and to assess the threat perception to the petitioners and after considering the same, re-



CRWP-11156-2024

3

spondent No.2 shall take appropriate action in accordance with law. In case, it is found that there is a genuine threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, then necessary steps warranted under law be taken at the earliest, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

9. It is, however, clarified that this order shall not be taken to be any expression as regards the alleged relationship of the petitioners and shall not confer any immunity upon the petitioners, in case it is found that they have committed any wrong.

10. Disposed of accordingly.

November 19, 2024
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No