

2024:PHHC:159517



135 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-4616-2024 (O&M)
Decided on:-29.11.2024

Jai Bhushan Jha

....Petitioner..

VS.

Shr Rahul Tewari (IAS), Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. Kavita Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. ADS Sukhija, Advocate,
for the respondents.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions, submits that the steps are being taken against the unauthorised occupants having been served with notices under Section 87 of the Punjab Regional Town and Country Planning Act, 1995. He further assures that the appropriate proceedings shall be concluded within a period of 08 weeks from today.

Mr. Harinder Pal Singh, District Town Planner (Regulatory) GMADA and Mr. Vipin Jethi, Legal Advisor, GMADA, who are present in Court also assure that no construction shall be permitted on the spot during this period.

2. In view of the aforesaid stand taken by learned counsel for the respondents, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

3. Dismissed as not pressed. Rule discharged.

However, in case the needful is not done within the
aforementioned period, as per the undertaking, the petitioner would be at
liberty to seek revival of the contempt petition and in that eventuality, the
erring/concerned Officer would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/-
as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation
expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

29.11.2024
sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/ No

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE