

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

229

CRM-M-62429-2023
Date of decision: 22.03.2024

SAURABH
STATE OF HARYANA

.... PETITIONER(S)
VERSUS
...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

On 12.12.2023, the following order was passed:-

“The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for quashing of the order dated 20.11.2023 (Annexure P-4) as well as impugned order dated 28.11.2023 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad in case bearing SC/64/2023 titled as ‘State versus Sumit and others’ with regard to FIR No.9 dated 07.01.2023 under Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 and under Sections 307, 34, 387 IPC (Sections 201, 120-B IPC added later on) registered at Police Station City Tohana, Tehsil Tohana, District Fatehabad, vide which the bail bonds of the petitioner had been cancelled and his surety bonds had been forfeited to the State.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on account of a traffic jam on the Fatehabad-Tohana road, the petitioner was unable to appear before the Court on 20.11.2023 and, thereafter, on 28.11.2023 because of which the aforementioned impugned orders came to be passed. He states that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the proceedings once again.

Notice of motion for 11.03.2024.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the Trial Court within a period of one week from today and subject to a deposit of Rs.50,000/- as costs with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association, Chandigarh Lawyers Family Welfare Fund, Account No.41564846387, SBI High Court Branch, IFSC:- SBIN0050306, he shall be admitted to interim bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

The learned counsel for the petitioner shall produce the receipt regarding deposit of Rs.50,000/- with the aforesaid Association as well as a copy of the order granting interim bail to the petitioner on the next date of hearing.”

In pursuance to the aforementioned order, the petitioner has surrendered before the Trial Court and has since been released on interim bail vide order dated 19.12.2023 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad. The copy of of the said order is taken on record.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

It is expected that the petitioner shall not absent himself from the Trial without sufficient cause.

Disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

22.03.2024

Kusum

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>