

CRM-M-57993-2024
Date of decision: 16.12.2024

...PETITIONERS

...RESPONDENTS

Present: Mr. Arnav Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Abhinav Jain, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita seeking quashing of the FIR No.118 dated 27.09.2021, registered under Sections 406, 498-A of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Women Commissionerate Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same on the basis of compromise dated 13.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

“Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita seeking quashing of the FIR No. 118 dated 27.09.2021, registered under Sections 406, 498-A of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Women Commissionerate Jalandhar and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same on the basis of compromise dated 13.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1-State and Ms. Anu Chandrika, Advocate and Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate for Mr. Abhinav Jain, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No. 2 and filed power of attorney. Same is taken on



record. Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 admits the factum of compromise.

Service is complete.

In the meantime, Parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within a period of two weeks or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of the compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 16.12.2024.

A copy of this order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.118 dated 27.09.2021, registered under Sections 406, 498-A of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Women Commissionerate Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

December 16, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |