

[204] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-62042-2023
Date of Decision : 22.02.2024

Gobind @ Govind ...Petitioner
versus
State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : **HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : Ms. Suman Kumari, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Amandeep Singh Sandhu, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Status report by way of affidavit dated 22.02.2024 of Shri Arun Mundan, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Abohar, District Fazilka, on behalf of the respondent-State has been filed in Court today. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel opposite.

[2] On 11.12.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Ms. Suman Kumari, Advocate for the petitioner.

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., prayer is made for grant of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.89 dated 20.08.2023, under Sections 341, 379-B, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station City-2 Abohar, District Fazilka.

Allegations are that petitioner along with co-accused snatched an amount of ₹10,000/- and mobile phone from complainant Vishal on 20.08.2023 at about 10 O’clock.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and that with the intervention of Panchayat and respectable persons, matter has since been compromised amongst the parties. Copy of affidavit of complainant Vishal with regard to the compromise, is placed on record as Annexure P-2.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A copy of paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Adjourned to 22.02.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation and co-operate in the same. He will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[3] Today, learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 11.12.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[4] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 11.12.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[5] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

22.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No