

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.62436 of 2023
Date of decision: 5th January, 2024

Rajesh Kumar
... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Chahat Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. APS Tung, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.27 dated 23.04.2023 under Sections 420, 120-B of the IPC and Section 66(D) of the Information Technology Act, 2000 registered at Police Station Khanauri, District Sangrur.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that subsequent to the registration of the FIR in question, the parties have amicably settled all their disputes and arrived at an amicable settlement, for which they have also approached this Court by way of CRM-M No.56112 of 2023 for quashing of the FIR, wherein they have also been directed to get their respective statements recorded before the trial Court. Learned counsel has further submitted that identically placed co-accused Ravinder Kumar has

since been extended the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 17.11.2023.

3. Mr. Shadab Ahmad, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and has not disputed the submissions made by the counsel opposite. He has also not opposed the prayer made by the petitioner for extending the concession of regular bail to him.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Amritpal Singh, has also not disputed that the parties have indeed approached this Court by way of CRM-M No.56112 of 2023 for quashing of FIR in question on the basis of compromise.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the relevant material on record.

6. In the facts and circumstances, as enumerated hereinabove, this Court deems it fit to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner. The petition as such is allowed and the petitioner is admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

January 05, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No