

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.61988 of 2023
Date of decision: 4th March, 2024

Prabhjot Singh Purba

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Amaninder Preet, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Rajveer S. Brar, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.127 dated 22.11.2023 under Sections 380, 506, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Badhni Kalan, District Moga.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 08.12.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, while drawing the attention of this Court to the allegations levelled in the FIR, which has been annexed as Annexure P-1, inter alia, submits that a perusal of all the allegations qua the gold jewellery and cash having been stolen, are against the wife

of the complainant himself; there is not even a whisper against the petitioner of having stolen the gold jewellery or any cash from the house of the complainant.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 08.12.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Karamjit Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 08.12.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 4, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No