

CWP-30974-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-30974-2024Date of decision: 26.11.2024

Vijay Luxmi Mehta

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Loveneet Thakur, Advocate, and
Mr. Tejas Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner (Vijay Luxmi Mehta) has prayed for the
following substantive relief:-

***“First Petition under Article 226/227 of the
Constitution of India primarily seeks judicial intervention
and to issue a writ of Mandamus against the Respondents
No.3 to 7 to compensate the Petitioner for causing illegal
demolition of their rightly owned property and violating
the fundamental and legal rights guaranteed under Art.14,
21 & 300-A of the Constitution of India and causing
mental distress, defaming them in the local community and
for assaulting Petitioner son and compensate them for
legal expenses as well.***

AND/OR

***It is further prayed to the Hon’ble Court to
order, or give suitable directions to Respondent No.2 to
take action over representation which was submitted by the
Petitioner (Annexure P-13).”***



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that aggrieved by the action of the respondent authorities, the petitioner had made complaints dated 24.08.2024 (P-12) to the Station House Officer, Police Station Division No.7, Ludhiana, as also 28.08.2024 (P-13) to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana-respondent No.2. Further, even the Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana, (respondent No.3), was served with a representation dated 03.12.2021 (P-16), as regards the concerns/grievance of the petitioners. However, he submits, even though, a considerable time has elapsed, but to date, no action has been taken. Be that as it may, he submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition, to enable the petitioner to pursue the matter with the respondent authorities, as also her complaints/representations, in the first instance.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, is present in Court. He submits that in the event, any such representation/complaint(s) is, indeed, pending with the authorities, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

26.11.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No