

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

174

INCOMP-6560-2024
Case Type: FAO
Decided on:02.12.2024

Chanderpati @ Chandarpati
Versus
... Appellant

Rajbir and another
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the appellant.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present case was filed in the registry and the registry had raised certain objections which were not removed by the learned counsel.
2. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V. Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, a case wherein objections have been raised by the Registry, the same is to be taken back by the counsel/party concerned, to be re-filed within a period of 40 days.
3. Since the case file was not taken back for doing the needful by the learned counsel concerned, despite a specific note having been given in the cause list requesting the counsel to collect the case file for removal of objections, the Registry has listed this incomplete matter before this Court.
4. In view of the fact that no steps were taken by the counsel concerned for re-filing of the case after removal of objections, no order is called for on the judicial side and the instant incomplete matter stands disposed of. Registry is directed to weed out the incomplete paper-book as per the Rules.

02.12.2024
Mehak

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No