



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

259

CRM-M-62240-2023

Date of decision:28.10.2024

AKSHAY KUMAR ALIAS ASHU

..PETITIONER(S)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Sunita Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 321 dated 28.10.2013 under Sections 452, 323, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Sections 354, 427 of IPC added subsequently) registered at Police Station Sadar Jullundur, District Jalandhar City (Annexure P-1), and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 31.05.2023 (Annexure P-3).

2. The following order was passed on 12.12.2023:-

“This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of FIR No.321 dated 28.10.2013 under Sections 452, 323, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code,



1860 (Sections 354, 427 of IPC added subsequently) registered at Police Station Sadar Jullundur, District Jalandhar City (Annexure P-1), and all other consequential proceedings arising there-from, on the basis of a compromise dated 31.05.2023 (Annexure P-3).

*Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that earlier the compromise was with six persons and on the basis of the same, the aforesaid FIR stands quashed qua them vide order dated 28.11.2023 in CRM-M-35915-2023 (Annexure P-10). Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court rendered in **"Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr."** [2012 (10) SCC 303] and the Larger Bench's judgement of this Court in **"Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Anr."** [2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052].*

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S. Tiwana, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State. Ms. Sunita Gupta, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2/complainant. Copy of the petition has already been supplied to both the counsel.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 has stated that the parties have since entered into a compromise, a copy whereof is annexed as Annexure P-3 with the petition. She further submits that the said compromise has been duly signed by the complainant as well and now the parties have no grudge against each other and further that respondent No.2 has no objection if the aforesaid FIR is quashed in view of the compromise.



List on 10.04.2024.

Meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the concerned CJM/Illaq Magistrate/Trial Court on 24.01.2024, or on any other date convenient to the Court, for recording of their statements. The CJM/Illaq Magistrate/Trial Court is directed to record the statements of the parties to its satisfaction qua the genuineness of the compromise and that the same is not the result of any undue influence, coercion or pressure of any kind. A report, along-with the statements of the parties, on the following points be sent to this Court before the next date of hearing:

- 1) Whether the compromise dated 31.05.2023 (Annexure P-3) has been freely entered into between the parties without any undue influence, coercion or pressure of any kind.*
- 2) Whether all the accused are party to the compromise.*
- 3) Whether any other criminal cases are pending against the parties.*
- 4) Whether any proclamation proceedings are pending against either of the parties”.*

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and**



another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 321 dated 28.10.2013 under Sections 452, 323, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Sections 354, 427 of IPC added subsequently) registered at Police Station Sadar Jullundur, District Jalandhar City (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

28.10.2024
joyti

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No