

308

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M- 62204-2023  
Date of decision:05.03.2024

ASIF KHAN ....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Mohit Thakur, Advocate for  
Mr. Ram Krishan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Prince Pushpinder Rana, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 01.12.2023 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

Details of the FIR are as follows:

| FIR No. | Dated      | Section                                                                                                                       | Police Station            |
|---------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 106     | 12.08.2022 | 420, 465, 467, 471 IPC, Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professional Regulation Act, 2014 & Section 24 of the Immigration Act | Nangal, District Rupnagar |

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 01.12.2023 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was

referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. A Receipt of cost of Rs. 10,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 20.02.2024.

3. Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nangal, Rupnagar vide report dated 26.02.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nangal, Rupnagar and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”**, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”**, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 106 dated 12.08.2022, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 471 IPC, Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professional Regulation Act, 2014 and Section 24 of Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)  
JUDGE

05.03.2024  
Anu

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |