



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CRM-M-57175-2024

Date of decision: 25.11.2024

Jarnail Singh Bajwa

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Bipan Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nikhil Ghai, Advocate and
Mr. Nikhil Thamnan, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in case FIR No.237 dated 21.10.2023 under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Kharar, District SAS Nagar Mohali.

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that after the registration of the FIR in question, vide Annexure P-2 dated 23.10.2023, the parties had amicably settled all their disputes and the allegedly cheated amount also stood paid to the complainant/respondent No.2. In support, attention of this Court has been drawn to Annexure P-2. It has been further submitted by learned senior counsel that the parties are in the process of approaching this Court for quashing of the FIR in question on the basis of compromise.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab,

accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

5. At this stage, Ms. Arti Kaur, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed her memorandum of appearance which is taken on record. She undertakes to file her power of attorney in the Registry of this Court. She does not dispute the submissions made by learned senior counsel for the petitioner and also does not oppose the prayer made for extending the concession of bail to the petitioner in the FIR in question on account of the matter having been amicably settled between the parties.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the relevant material on record.

7. As not disputed by learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2/complainant, the parties have amicably resolved all their disputes and would be shortly approaching this Court for quashing of FIR in question on the basis of compromise. In the facts and circumstances as enumerated hereinabove, this Court deems it fit to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

25.11.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No