



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-62133-2023

Date of decision: 16.05.2024

Jashandeep Singh Grewal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate and
Mr. Abhishek Khullar, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.241 dated 14.12.2022 under Sections 307/364/323/324/148/149 of the IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 307/364 of the IPC deleted and Section 325 of the IPC added laterion) registered at Police Station Sarabha Nagar, District Ludhiana.

2. On 11.12.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that the petitioner came to be nominated as an accused after one month of the occurrence in question, in the supplementary



CRM-M-62133-2023

-2-

statement made by the injured/complainant, wherein he alleged that the petitioner was called to the spot after there was a scuffle between him and a delivery boy of a Courier Company and thereafter, he was inflicted injuries with sticks. Learned counsel submits that all the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature except one which was a fracture on his arm.”

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 04.03.2024, the petitioner was again asked to join investigation as he had not joined investigation in compliance of order dated 11.12.2023.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 11.12.2023/04.03.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajpal Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 11.12.2023/04.03.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

16.05.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No