

[282] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-62226-2023
Date of Decision : 22.02.2024

Chetan Nath and others ...Petitioners
versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : Mr. Rishabh Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana.
Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.122, dated 09.03.2023, registered under Sections 323, 34, 506 and Section 307 (added later on) of the IPC, 1860 at Police Station Krishna Gate, Thanesar, District Kurukshetra, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 21.11.2023 (Annexure P-2).

[2] This Court vide order dated 11.12.2023 had directed the parties to appear before the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send the report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

[3] Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before learned Additional Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kurukshetra, and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 30.01.2024 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without

[4] Statement of Respondent No.2-complainant namely, Prince, with regard to compromise was recorded before learned Magistrate on 15.01.2024.

[5] Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

[6] In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R. following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and F.I.R. No.122, dated 09.03.2023, registered under Sections 323, 34, 506 and Section 307 (added later on) of the IPC, 1860 at Police Station Krishna Gate, Thanesar, District Kurukshetra, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise qua petitioners, are hereby quashed.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

22.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No