

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

**CRM-M-57542-2024
Date of Decision: 22.11.2024**

Ravi Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Ms. Kirandeep Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M. S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant a regular bail in case FIR No.0079 dated 05.07.2024 registered under Section(s) 109, 125, 191(3) and 190 of BNS 2023 and Section 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR nor any overt act was attributed to him. He further contends that the petitioner and other co-accused have been falsely involved by the police after a gap of 09 days of the alleged incident, on the basis of the supplementary statement made by the complainant. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 13.07.2024 and challan has already been presented against him. He further contends that the similarly placed co-accused namely Vijaypal Singh has already been

admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 29.10.2024 (Annexure P-4).

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner and his co-accused had caused serious injuries on the person of complainant and Vijaypal Singh. Thus, he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, the petitioner was arrested on 13.07.2024 and is in custody for the last more than 04 months. After completion of investigation, final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been presented before the trial Court and his custody will not serve any meaningful purpose and his co-accused has already been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 29.10.2024 (Annexure P-4).

6. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned.

22.11.2024

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

M.Sikka

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No