



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CWP-168-2020

Date of decision: 14.05.2024

VIKAS

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Ashwani Chaudhary, Advocate and
Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the present case is to the order dated 15.10.2018 and the order dated 21.01.2019 whereby the Chief Administrator, Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board had denied the sanction for prosecution against respondent No.7 in case FIR No. 5 dated 26.04.2018 registered under Section 7, 13 (1)(d)(e) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 at Police Station State Vigilance Bureau (Haryana), Rohtak.

2. Counsel for the respondent No.7 informs that a cancellation report had been filed in the above said FIR and that the



CWP-168-2020

-2-

said cancellation report stands accepted on 10.01.2020.

3. Under the given circumstances, the issues which arises for consideration in this matter is only academic. Keeping the question open, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

MAY 14, 2024

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No