

CWP-28018-2023

Date of decision: 24.01.2024

VILSHAN

....PETITIONER

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Sardavinder Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Rohit Duggal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Premjit Singh Hundal, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondents No. 1 to 4.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting-aside of result of detailed medical examination as well as review medical examination.
2. Mr. Premjit Singh Hundal, Senior Panel Counsel, at the outset, submits that petitioner has applied under SC category and there were 5 seats for said category. The cut off under the said category was 134 and petitioner has secured 129 marks.
3. Faced with this, counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition, however, seeks liberty to avail remedies as permissible by law.
4. Disposed of as not pressed with aforesaid liberty.
5. It is made clear that petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application, if at any stage he finds that statement of counsel for respondents No. 1 to 4 is factually incorrect.

24.01.2024

manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No