



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-56927-2024

Date of Decision : 20.11.2024

RAHUL KALYAN

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Benant Noor Singh Marook, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 14.11.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

- “1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, prayer is made for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.209 dated 06.12.2022, under Sections 307, 323, 324, 452, 148, 149 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Division No.07, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner and the matter has already been compromised between the parties, therefore, the petitioner deserves the concession of pre-arrest bail. He further submits that, the petitioner is on a co-equal pedestal as his co-accused Ramesh Lal and Vijay Kumar, who have already been granted interim bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 10.10.2024, drawn upon CRM-M-50828-2024.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.
5. List on 20.11.2024, along with CRM-M-50828-2024.
6. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.”

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to him by the
official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove

extracted order, the petitioner(s) has joined investigation, and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 14.11.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

November 20, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No