



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-30900-2024

Date of Decision: 19.11.2024

M/S UNITECH ELECTRONICS AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Ankit Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Jain and Mr. Manan Jain,
Advocates for caveator-respondent No.5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Challenge raised in the present petition is to the impugned order dated
07.10.2024 passed by District Registrar of Firms and Societies, Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the District Registrar
of Firms and Societies, Gurugram has place reliance on the provisions of the Indian
Partnership Act, 1932 while passing the impugned order against the petitioner,
whereas the reasoning given and reliance placed by the District Registrar of Firms
and Societies, Gurugram are ill-founded and not based on the correct reading of the
provisions of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932. He further contends that the District
Registrar of Firms and Societies, Gurugram was not the competent authority to
examine the issue in light of the provisions contained in the Indian Partnership Act,

**CWP-30900-2024****-2-**

1932 and has resorted to the provisions of Haryana Registration and Regulation of Societies Act, 2012 while passing the impugned order.

Being confronted with the above, counsel for petitioner is, however, not in a position to dispute that an order passed by the District Registrar of Firms and Societies under the provisions of Haryana Registration and Regulation of Societies Act, 2012 is an appealable order before the State Registrar of Societies and a further remedy has also been provided before the Registrar General of Societies. Hence, in view of the aforesaid efficacious alternative remedies prescribed under the statutory scheme, the counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to take recourse to the alternative remedies as per law, if so advised.

Disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty as aforesaid.

Needless to mention that in the event of the petitioner preferring any such appeal before the State Registrar of Societies, an appropriate decision in a time bound manner and preferably within a period of four months from the date of service of notice on the opposite party shall be taken by the Competent Authority after granting an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties.

NOVEMBER 19, 2024.
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No