



111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-11175-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 20.11.2024**

NIRVAIL SINGH

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate writ, for protecting the life and liberty and also appropriate writ for quashing of the communication/letter dated 16.09.2024 (Annexure P-3), whereby the petitioner has been summoned to present before the respondent no. 5 with complete record of the development works of different villages of Block Dhilwan, District Kapurthala pertaining to the period prior to 2015 ignoring the facts that the petitioner has been retired from the service in 2015 and having no access and control over the above said record, thus the above said communication may kindly be quashed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied, if reply/representation (Annexure P-5 dated 11.10.2024) filed by the petitioner be considered as reply to the notice (Annexure



CRWP-11175-2024

-2-

P-3) sent via post is taken into account, instead of personal appearance due to physical condition of the petitioner as has been referred in the medical record attached to the present petition.

As learned State Counsel has appeared on advance notice, service upon him is waived off. He submits that reply (Annexure P-5) filed by the petitioner would be considered as response to the notice (Annexure P -3) and he would not press the prayer for personal appearance until and unless it is totally unavoidable.

In view of the above statement made by State Counsel, the petition is disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

20.11.2024
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No