



Learned counsel for both the parties submits that petitioner No.2 as well as respondent No.2 are currently residing abroad and they are not in a position to present physically before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record their statements regarding compromise. Therefore, a request for recording statements of petitioner No.2 as well as respondent No.2 through their respective GPA is made.

Notice of motion for 16.12.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Aakash Singla, Advocate accepts notice for respondents No.2 & 3 and files his power of attorney and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

In view of the above, the prayer made by counsel for both the parties is allowed. Petitioner No.2 as well as respondent No.2 are allowed to record their statements through their respective GPA before the learned jurisdictional Magistrate.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834*** and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs.***



Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.28 dated 07.03.2014 under Sections 406/498-A of IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) including conviction order dated 04.08.2023 (Annexure P-5) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

December 16, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |