



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11013-2024

Date of decision : 14.11.2024

Rakhi and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 BNSS for directing official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners at the behest of respondents No.4 to 7. Further prayer has been made to issue direction to the official respondents to ensure the security of life and liberty of the petitioners which is at the eminent danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 7. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 11.11.2024 (Annexure P-5) before Superintendent of Police, Ambala for the redressal of their claim and the same has not yet been decided.



3. Notice of motion.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.-2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Ambala to decide the representation dated 11.11.2024 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.
6. Disposed of.

14.11.2024
ps-I

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No