

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.7377 of 2023

Date of Decision: 19.01.2024

Kapil Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

Sheenam Goyal

...Respondent

CORAM: ***HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA***

Present:- Mr. Jasjit Singh, Advocate appearing for
 Mr. Anureet S. Sidhu, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of direction to learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Patiala (for short 'the Family Court), to decide application Annexure P-2, as preferred by him in the petition bearing ***GW No.72 of 2022*** titled as "***Kapil Jain versus Sheenam Goyal***", on or before the next date of hearing in the said petition, i.e 30.01.2024.

2. Status-Report, as submitted by the Presiding Officer of the Family Court, is already available on the file and the same is taken on the record.

3. However, at this stage, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner restricts his prayer to the issuance of the direction to the Family Court to decide the afore-mentioned application within some specific time-frame.

4. Keeping in view above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and also the fact that the afore-referred application is pending adjudication for the last more than 01 (one) year and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the said application and the main petition as well, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with a direction to the concerned Family Court to decide application Annexure P-2, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of 06 (six) weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

19.01.2024
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*