



CRM-M-57037-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(225)

CRM-M-57037-2024
Date of Decision : 21.11.2024

Gurpreet Kaur ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. K.S. Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Amandeep Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

- Through the instant petition, filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023, the petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.117 dated 14.08.2024 under Sections 420 and 120-B of the IPC, 1860 (Annexure P-1), registered at Police Station Passiana, District Patiala.
- The petitioner is a woman, aged 34 years. The allegations against the present petitioner are that she along with other co-accused Davinder Singh and Rajinder Kaur, sold the house in lal dora of the village by forging the documents. In the instant case, Rajinder Kaur, who is the mother of the present petitioner, entered into an agreement with the complainant, and on dated 27.07.2022, she sold the house to the complainant, for a sum of Rs.4,00,000/-, and when the complainant went to take possession of the said house, she came to know that the land belongs to one

**CRM-M-57037-2024****2**

Chanan Singh, and the house in question, was in fact, on a rent with one Preetinder Kaur.

3. On asking for the relief (supra), learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the co-accused Rajinder Kaur, who is the mother of the present petitioner, has already been extended the relief of anticipatory bail, by this Court, by drawing an order dated 20.11.2024, passed in CRM-M-52742-2024. He further submits that the petitioner is a lady and has suffered incarceration of about 02 months, as on today. He also submits that the investigation is already complete, therefore, her further custody is not required, as she is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to him by ASI Dharm Singh, opposed the grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner. He has also placed on record the custody certificate dated 20.11.2024, qua the petitioner, today in the Court. The same is taken on record, which reflects that the petitioner has suffered incarceration of 01 month and 28 days, as on today, and final report stands filed.

5. This Court has heard the rival submissions, as made by the learned counsel for the parties concerned, and is of the view that the instant petition is amenable to be allowed for the reasons;

(i) considering the fact that the petitioner is a lady, aged 34 years;

(ii) has suffered incarceration of about 02 months, as on today, and investigation in the instant case is complete and final report has already been filed;

(iii) that the co-accused Rajinder Kaur, who is the mother of the present petitioner, has already been extended the

CRM-M-57037-2024

relief of anticipatory bail, by this Court, by drawing an order (supra).

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail. Accordingly, the instant petition is **allowed**.

7. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. However, anything observed hereinabove shall have no effect on the merits of the case and is meant for deciding the present petition only.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

November 21, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No