



CRM-M-57142-2024 (O&M)

-1-

242 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-57142-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.12.2024

NAVEEN SHARMA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Punit Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Manish Dhankar, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J.(ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.19 dated
21.01.2019 under Sections 354-D/506 of IPC and Section 66 of I.T. Act,
2000 registered at Police Station Women Police Station, District Gurugram
(Haryana) (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising
therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 08.11.2024 (Annexure P-
2).

2. The following order was passed on 18.11.2024:

*“This petition has been filed under Section
528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023
seeking quashing of FIR No.19 dated 21.01.2019 under
Sections 354-D/506 of IPC and Section 66 of I.T. Act,
2000 registered at Police Station Women Police
Station, District Gurugram (Haryana) (Annexure P-1)
along with all subsequent proceedings arising*



CRM-M-57142-2024 (O&M)

-2-

therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 08.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 16.12.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Manish Dhankhar, Advocate accepts notice for respondents No.2 & 3 and files his power of attorney and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs.**

State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.19 dated 21.01.2019 under Sections 354-D/506 of IPC and Section 66 of I.T. Act, 2000 registered at Police Station Women Police Station, District Gurugram (Haryana) (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioner.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

16.12.2024
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No