



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CWP No. 31032 of 2024
Date of Decision : 19.11.2024

Gurlal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that they are entitled for the benefit as extended to the petitioners in CWP No. 5750 of 2021 titled as ***Gurwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 19.09.2024 but the benefit is not being extended to the petitioners on the ground that the petitioners are not party to the said petition, whereas, as per the judgment of the Division Bench in CWP No. 4382 of 2002 titled as ***Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana***, decided on 21.03.2002, once the question of law has already been decided, the benefit should be extended to all the employees without forcing them to approach the Court, hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to grant the petitioners the benefit as extended in ***Satbir Singh's case (supra)***.

Notice of motion.



On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any representation is received at the hands of the petitioners raising their claim in terms of the judgment in ***Gurwinder Singh's case (supra)***, the same will be decided in accordance with law by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found that the petitioners are similarly situated as the petitioners in ***Gurwinder Singh's case (supra)***, the appropriate benefit, will be extended otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioners, which will be conveyed to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

November 19, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No