



131
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR-6752-2024
Date of decision: 20.11.2024

Harwinderjit Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

Mandeep Kaur ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate order or direction thereby directing the Court of Civil Judge (Junior Division), NRI Court, Jalandhar to decide the civil suit filed by the petitioner in a time bound manner.
2. The present suit is of the year 2023 and a perusal of zimni orders would show that an application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC was filed by the defendants on 12.03.2024 and on 10.04.2024, the plaintiff/petitioner had not filed reply to the same. Further perusal of zimni orders dated 04.07.2024 as well as 31.07.2024 would show that counsel for the present petitioner had sought an adjournment to argue the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC. Even on several dates, including 23.05.2024, 06.09.2024, 04.10.2024 and 25.10.2024, it had been recorded by the trial Court that it was on the request of the parties that the case is being adjourned.
3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that the present case does not call for issuance of any directions to the trial Court to expedite the case or to decide the same within a fixed time. Moreover, there are no special circumstances in the present case to pass such an order. Thus, the present revision petition being meritless, deserves to be dismissed and is accordingly, dismissed.

20.11.2024

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No