

Bupender Singh Rao and another
versus
Shree Niwas and another

.....Petitioners

... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajbir Sehrawat

Present: Mr. Bhuwnesh Lakhera, Advocate for the petitioners
 Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana and
 Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana along with
 Mr. Shree Niwas, Tehsildar-respondent No. 1
 Mr. Rohit Kumar and Mr. Harmonjot Singh Gill, Advocates
 For respondent No. 2

Rajbir Sehrawat, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Sections 10, 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondents for disobeying the order dated 24.08.2023, passed by this Court in CWP 18601-2023.
2. Counsel for respondent No. 1 has submitted that the order qua which the contempt is asserted; has been complied with and no further action is required to be taken in the matter.
3. Counsel for the petitioners has not disputed the factual position but prays that if the grievance of the petitioners is left unaddressed, they may be granted liberty to avail any other alterenate remedy.
4. In view of the above, the present contempt petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
5. However, if any of the greivance of the petitioner is left unredressed, they would be at liberty to avail any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

(Rajbir Sehrawat)
Judge

May 14, 2024

mohan bimbra

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No