



CWP-30739-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-30739-2024Date of decision: 14.11.2024

RG-MAGRAY (JV), an unincorporated joint venturePetitioner

Versus

Jalandhar Smart City and anotherRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Saransh Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner [RG-MAGRAY (JV),] has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, especially a writ in the nature of mandamus directing Respondent No.1 to issue the Certificate of Completion to the Petitioner for the Project;

AND/OR

Further for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, especially a writ in the nature of mandamus directing Respondent No.1 to formally take over the Project;

OR

Alternatively, for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction, especially a writ in the nature of mandamus directing Respondent No.1 to treat the legal notice dated 28.09.2024 (P-29) as a representation by the



Petitioner and consider the requests made in such representation in a time-bound manner.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the respondent authorities with a legal notice dated 28.09.2024 (P-29). However, he submits that a considerable time has elapsed, but the matter has not made any tangible progress. Thus, it is urged that, for the present, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the respondent authorities are directed to deal with its concerns/grievances and pass appropriate orders on the legal notice (*ibid*).

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, present in Court, appears on behalf of the respondents. At the outset, he submits that in the event, any such legal notice is, indeed, pending with the respondent authorities, the same shall be taken cognizance of. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within a period of eight weeks from today. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, the authorized representative(s) of the petitioner shall be heard, for which, a formal communication shall be issued, well in advance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned State counsel, and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.



This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders, assigning reasons in support thereof, shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned State counsel.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

14.11.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No