



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CRWP No.10976 of 2024

Date of decision: 14.11.2024

ANJU AND ANOTHER

.... Petitioners

Versus

UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA


Present : Mr. Sube S. Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. T. V.S. Lehol, Advocate for U.T., Chandigarh.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5 and take action on their representation dated 09.11.2024 (Annexure P-5).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 09.11.2024 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Union Territory Chandigarh (Annexure P-5) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Union Territory Chandigarh to decide the



aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. T. V.S. Lehol, Advocate for U.T., Chandigarh, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 09.11.2024 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

14.11.2024
Jyoti-IV

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No