



101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-30549-2024 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 22.11.2024

Court on its own motion ...Petitioner

Vs.

Ramjas Prajapati ...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate for respondent – Ramjas Prajapati.  
\*\*\*

**SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)**

1. This writ petition has been filed on behalf of one Ramjas Prajapati, who was a licensed Munshi, working in the office of Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the Munshi has requested for recalling of order dated 21.10.2024, passed by this Court; or clarifying the said order as the Registrar (Administration), to whom the matter had been sent for taking the decision after giving notice and receiving reply of the concerned Munshi, has under impression of order being on judicial side, refused to examine the case for restoration of license of Ramjas Prajapati and allowing him to perform his duties as Munshi.

3. Learned counsel expresses unconditional apology on the part of concerned Munshi who inadvertently committed mistake of talking on mobile phone and speaking loudly during court proceedings.

4. Having noticed the reply filed by the concerned Munshi before the Registrar (Administration) and taking into consideration the order passed



CWP-30549-2024 (O&M)

[2]

by the Registrar (Administration) dated 25.10.2024, I deem it appropriate to close the proceedings against the concerned Munshi and direct the Registrar to restore his license and allow him to perform his duties as Munshi in the Court Rooms and also accept his unconditional apology.

5. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)  
JUDGE

22.11.2024  
rajesh

- |                               |   |        |
|-------------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | : | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable?        | : | Yes/No |