



113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-30608-2024**Date of Decision: 13.11.2024****RATTAN SINGH**

..... Petitioner

*Versus***MUNICIPAL CORPORATION CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER**

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent-Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh not to hold meeting of Departmental Promotion Committee (for short 'DPC') till finalization of seniority list of Sub-Inspectors (Enforcement).

2. The petitioner claims that respondent on 26.10.2024 issued tentative seniority list of Sub-Inspectors (Enforcement). All the aggrieved persons were granted 20 days time to file their objections. The petitioner has filed his objection. The respondent is conducting meeting of DPC to make appointment of Inspectors (Enforcement) through promotion. It is unfair on the part of respondent to make appointment of Inspectors without finalizing seniority list of Sub-Inspectors.

3. On the asking of Court, Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate accepts



notice on behalf of the respondents and waives service. He submits that as per his instructions DPC for the purpose of promotion to the rank of Inspector (Enforcement) would be conducted after finalization of seniority list of Sub-Inspector (Enforcement). To adjudicate objections of all the candidates, a Committee has been constituted which would consider objections of all the candidates and thereafter finalize seniority list of Sub-Inspector (Enforcement).

4. In the wake of statement of Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

5. Dismissed as not pressed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

13.11.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No