



CWP-30586-2024

-1-

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-30586-2024
Date of Decision: 13.11.2024**

Rajinder

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Fitter and thereafter subsequent promotion keeping in view the length of service and to award the culminating benefits and also further directions may be issued to the respondents to keep one post of Fitter reserve for the petitioner during the pendency of the present petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had issued a legal notice dated 17.05.2024 vide Annexure P-13 to the respondent-Department but the same has not been considered and decided till date. He submitted that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case the aforesaid legal notice dated 17.05.2024 (Annexure P-13) is considered and decided by respondent No.3-Municipal Corporation, Faridabad by passing a speaking order in accordance with law in a time



bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that she has no objection, in case, a direction is issued to respondent No.3 for considering and deciding the legal notice dated 17.05.2024 issued by the petitioner vide Annexure P-13 in accordance with law and within a fixed time framework.
5. In view of the aforesaid position, without calling for the reply from the respondents and without observing anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3/competent authority to consider and decide the legal notice dated 17.05.2024 issued by the petitioner vide Annexure P-13 strictly in accordance with law by passing a well-reasoned speaking order within a period of three months from today.

13.11.2024

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No