

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

263

CRM-M-61594-2023
Date of decision:01.02.2024

POONAM AND ANOTHER ..PETITIONER(S)
Versus
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR. ...RESPONDENT(S)
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR , J (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.524, dated 09.12.2021 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Baldev Nagar, District Ambala and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 30.11.2023 (Annexure P-2)
2. The following order was passed on 07.12.2023:-

“Notice of motion.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG Haryana puts in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

At this stage, Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed Power of Attorney in the Court today which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied during the course of the day. In view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, let the parties appear before the trial Court/Area Magistrate, as the case may be, within a period of two weeks from today for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise. Trial Court is directed to report on the following points:-

- (i) how many total accused are facing the trial;
- (ii) whether any of the accused was declared proclaimed offender at any stage of trial;
- (iii) status/stage of the trial/case;
- (iv) to record the statements of all the concerned parties with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise;
- (v) to record the statement of Investigating Officer with regard to points No.(i), (ii) and (iii) as above.

Report be sent through District and Sessions Judge, before the next date of hearing.
Adjourned to 01.02.2024”.

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.524, dated 09.12.2021 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Baldev Nagar, District Ambala and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

01.02.2024
joyti

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No