

286

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-61369-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.04.2024

SANDEEP AND OTHERS

... PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

* * *

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)

[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.139 dated 30.08.2018, registered at Police Station Women, District Jhajjar, under Sections 323, 498-A and 506 of the IPC, on the basis of compromise deed dated 20.03.2023 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that the FIR was registered on account of matrimonial dispute between petitioner No.1 and respondent No. 2 which stands resolved now. The marriage of petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act in terms of the judgment and decree dated 16.09.2023 passed by the learned Principle Judge, Family Court, Jhajjar.

[3] Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No. 2 has confirmed the factum of compromise between the parties.

[4] On 12.12.2023, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate for recording of their statements regarding the compromise.

[5] As per the report dated 27.02.2024, received from the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Jhajjar the compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. The petitioners have not been declared as “Proclaimed Offender”.

[6] Learned State counsel has not raised any objection regarding the acceptance of the present petition.

[7] The matrimonial dispute between the parties stands settled. Keeping in view the fact that the compromise between the parties is genuine and voluntarily made and in view of the ratio of the decision of Hon’ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab (SC) 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and the decision of Hon’ble the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the ends of justice would meet if the FIR and consequential proceedings are quashed by accepting the compromise between the parties.

[8] Consequently, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 139 dated 30.08.2018, registered at Police Station Women, District Jhajjar, under Sections 323, 498-A and 506 of the IPC and all the subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioners.

[9] However, respondent No.2 and the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of this order, in case the final terms and conditions of

compromise dated 20.03.2023 are violated.

[10] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

22.04.2024

Janki

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No