



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-6765-2024

Date of decision : 21.11.2024

Vijay Karla and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Amita Jain and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Tarun Hans, Advocate for the petitioners.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 06.11.2024 (Annexure P-7) passed by the Civil Judge (Jr.Div.), Hansi, vide which the application moved by respondents-plaintiffs for getting specimen signatures and handwriting of petitioner no.1-defendant no.1 and specimen signature of petitioner no.2-defendant no.2 compared with disputed signatures of petitioners-defendants no.1 and 2 on the agreement to sell dated 12.02.2014 and writing dated 29.05.2014 has been allowed.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that since the evidence of the petitioners-defendants is yet to start, thus, the present petition be disposed of by granting an opportunity to the petitioners to examine their handwriting expert in rebuttal to the evidence of the handwriting expert being led by the respondents.
3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, moreso, the fact that the evidence of the petitioners-defendants is yet to start, the impugned order is upheld and the present petition is disposed of. In case, the defendants wish to examine their own handwriting expert, then, they be permitted to do so.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 21, 2024

Davinder Kumar