IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

121 **CRWP-11070-2024**

Date of decision: 18th November, 2024

Anjali and another

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Vishesh Bhatia, Advocate for
Mr. Viranjeet Singh Mahal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

***

MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL):-

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 6 and to take action on their representation dated 30.09.2024 (Annexure P-7).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 30.09.2024 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hisar (Annexure P-7) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be

Parveen Sharma
2024.11.19 14:30
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Hisar to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl. A.G. Haryana who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 30.09.2024 (Annexure P-7) of the petitioners within a period of fifteen days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

[MANISHA BATRA]
JUDGE

18th November, 2024
Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes / No*
2. Whether reportable : *Yes / No*

Parveen Sharma
2024.11.19 14:30
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document