



CRWP-11069-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CRWP-11069-2024

Date of decision: 18th November, 2024

Ramandeep Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Armaan Gagneja, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL):-

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 and 5 and to take action on their representation dated 06.11.2024 (Annexure P-3).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 06.11.2024 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib (Annexure P-3) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken



CRWP-11069-2024

-2-

thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, DAG, Punjab who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without going into the question legality of their relationship as well as without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 06.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners within a period of fifteen days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

[MANISHA BATRA]
JUDGE

18th November, 2024

Parveen Sharma

1. *Whether speaking/ reasoned*
2. *Whether reportable*

: *Yes / No*
: *Yes / No*